



Southern Corridor Final Environmental Impact Statement and Section 4(f) Evaluation

Volume 1 of 2: Summary
and Chapters 1–4

From I-15 at
Reference Post 2
in St. George
to SR 9 near
Hurricane, Utah



FHWA-UT-EIS-03-01-F

Submitted pursuant to
42 U.S.C. 4332 (2)(c)
Federal Highway Administration
Utah Department of Transportation

April 2005

Southern Corridor
I-15 at Reference Post 3 near St. George
to State Route 9 near Hurricane
in Washington County, Utah

Final
Environmental Impact Statement
and Section 4(f) Evaluation

Submitted pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(c) and 49 U.S.C. 303
by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and
the Utah Department of Transportation

Cooperating Agencies: U.S. Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service; Bureau of Land
Management; and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

April 2005

3/30/05

Date of Approval

4/4/05

Date of Approval


Tamerha Maxwell
For the Utah Department of Transportation


David L. Fullen

For the Federal Highway Administration

The following persons may be contacted for additional information about this document:

Gregory Punske, Project Development Engineer
FHWA Utah Division
2520 West 4700 South, Suite 9A
Salt Lake City, UT 84118
Telephone: (801) 963-0182

Tamerha Maxwell, Project Director
Utah Department of Transportation
1470 North Airport Road
Cedar City, UT 84721
Telephone: (435) 865-5511

Abstract

The proposed action is the construction of the Southern Corridor, a proposed four-lane, limited-access highway beginning at Interstate 15 (I-15) about 2 miles north of the Arizona border and connecting with State Route 9 (SR 9) near Hurricane. Depending on the alternative selected, the highway would be between 20 to 26 miles in length. The primary purpose of the Southern Corridor is to provide a regional transportation facility between St. George, Washington City, and Hurricane that would complement local land use plans. Four alternatives are considered: the No-Build Alternative, 4300 West Alternative, 3400 West Alternative, and 2800 West Alternative. Environmental impacts in over 20 categories are discussed. Mitigation measures to reduce the levels of impacts are also described.

Comments on this Final EIS are due by May 23, 2005, to Gregory Punske (FHWA) at the above address.

Contents – Volume I

CHAPTER S: SUMMARY	S-1
S.1 Description of Proposed Action.....	S-1
S.2 Other Major Actions	S-1
S.3 Alternatives Considered.....	S-1
S.3.1 Alternatives Studied in Detail	S-2
S.4 Summary of Environmental Impacts.....	S-3
S.4.1 No-Build Alternative.....	S-3
S.4.2 4300 West Alternative.....	S-4
S.4.3 3400 West Alternative.....	S-5
S.4.4 2800 West Alternative (Preferred Alternative)	S-5
S.4.5 Basis for Selection of Preferred Alternative.....	S-6
S.5 Areas of Controversy	S-11
S.6 Major Unresolved Issues.....	S-11
S.7 Required Federal Actions	S-11
 CHAPTER 1: PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR ACTION.....	1-1
1.1 Project Location and Status.....	1-2
1.1.1 Proposed Action	1-2
1.1.2 History and Background of the Need	1-3
1.1.3 Area Planning Studies	1-4
1.2 Existing Transportation System Linkage.....	1-7
1.2.1 Roadways	1-7
1.2.2 Public Transit Service	1-9
1.2.3 Transportation Management Strategies.....	1-10
1.2.4 Modal Interrelationships	1-10
1.3 Corridor Capacity and Level of Service.....	1-12
1.3.1 Level of Service	1-12
1.3.2 Existing Capacity and Level of Service on Key Roads.....	1-14
1.4 Roadway and Safety Deficiencies	1-15
1.5 Social Demands and Economic Development	1-15
1.5.1 Population Growth	1-15
1.5.2 Household Growth	1-16
1.5.3 Employment Growth	1-17
1.6 Transportation Demand	1-18
1.6.1 Planned Growth and Development near the Southern Corridor	1-18
1.6.2 Network Level of Service	1-19
1.7 Legislation and Initiatives.....	1-21
1.8 Conclusion.....	1-22
1.8.1 Summary of Corridor Needs and Deficiencies.....	1-22
1.8.2 Purpose of Alternatives	1-23

CHAPTER 2: ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1 Summary of the Alternative Development Process	2-1
2.1.1 Non-highway Alternatives	2-1
2.1.2 Highway Alternatives.....	2-5
2.1.3 Induced Demand and Latent Demand	2-12
2.1.4 Southern Corridor Alignment Alternatives	2-15
2.2 Alternatives Considered.....	2-22
2.2.1 No-Build Alternative.....	2-24
2.2.2 4300 West Alternative.....	2-26
2.2.3 3400 West Alternative.....	2-28
2.2.4 2800 West Alternative (Preferred Alternative)	2-29
2.3 Land Acquired to Date.....	2-30
2.4 Comparison of Impacts.....	2-30
2.4.1 No-Build Alternative.....	2-35
2.4.2 4300 West Alternative.....	2-35
2.4.3 3400 West Alternative.....	2-36
2.4.4 2800 West Alternative (Preferred Alternative)	2-36
2.5 Selection of the Preferred Alternative	2-37
CHAPTER 3: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT.....	3-1
3.1 Land Use.....	3-1
3.1.1 Land Administration	3-2
3.1.2 Existing Land Use	3-2
3.1.3 Federal Resource Management Plans.....	3-3
3.1.4 Local Land Use Plans.....	3-4
3.2 Farmland.....	3-7
3.2.1 Current Agricultural Production.....	3-7
3.2.2 Prime Farmland.....	3-7
3.2.3 Unique Farmland.....	3-8
3.2.4 Farmland of State Importance	3-8
3.2.5 Grazing Allotments	3-8
3.3 Social Environment	3-9
3.3.1 Population Growth: Current and Projected	3-9
3.3.2 Population Demographics: Socially Disadvantaged Groups and Environmental Justice	3-10
3.3.3 Neighborhood and Community Cohesion	3-14
3.3.4 Travel Patterns and Accessibility	3-15
3.3.5 Public Facilities.....	3-15
3.3.6 Public Services and Utilities	3-16
3.3.7 Quality of Life.....	3-17
3.3.8 Public Health and Safety	3-17
3.3.9 Recreation Resources	3-18
3.4 Relocations	3-22
3.5 Economics.....	3-23
3.5.1 Employment	3-23
3.5.2 Commerce	3-25
3.5.3 Tax Base.....	3-26
3.6 Joint Development.....	3-27

3.7	Considerations Relating to Pedestrians and Bicyclists.....	3-28
3.7.1	Existing Facilities.....	3-28
3.7.2	Proposed Facilities	3-29
3.8	Air Quality	3-30
3.8.1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).....	3-31
3.8.2	Study Area Air Quality Status.....	3-33
3.8.3	Study Area Existing Pollutant Emissions.....	3-35
3.9	Noise.....	3-35
3.9.1	Characteristics of Noise	3-35
3.9.2	Regulatory Overview	3-36
3.9.3	Existing Noise Levels	3-38
3.10	Water Quality	3-39
3.10.1	Water Quality Regulations	3-39
3.10.2	Surface Water Quality	3-40
3.10.3	Groundwater Quality.....	3-44
3.10.4	Groundwater Rights and Wells	3-45
3.11	Wetlands/Waters of the U.S.....	3-46
3.11.1	Methodology	3-46
3.11.2	Wetlands/Waters of the U.S. Inventory.....	3-47
3.12	Water Body Modification and Wildlife	3-48
3.12.1	Water Bodies.....	3-48
3.12.2	Vegetation	3-48
3.12.3	Fish and Wildlife.....	3-49
3.13	Floodplains	3-51
3.13.1	FEMA Studies and Maps	3-51
3.13.2	Floodplains in the Study Area.....	3-51
3.14	Threatened and Endangered Species.....	3-53
3.14.1	Definitions.....	3-55
3.14.2	Federally Listed Species.....	3-56
3.14.3	State Sensitive Species.....	3-59
3.15	Historic, Archaeological, and Paleontological Resources	3-64
3.15.1	Methodology	3-65
3.15.2	Resource Inventory	3-66
3.16	Hazardous Waste Sites.....	3-69
3.17	Visual Resources.....	3-70
3.17.1	Methodology	3-70
3.17.2	Proposed Project Viewed from Offsite	3-70
3.17.3	Views from the Southern Corridor.....	3-71
3.18	Wild and Scenic Rivers	3-73

CHAPTER 4: ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES.....	4-1
4.0 Introduction	4-1
4.1 Land Use Impacts.....	4-4
4.1.1 Impacts on Cities and County Land Use	4-4
4.1.2 Consistency with Plans and Policies	4-13
4.1.3 Cumulative Land Use Impacts	4-16
4.2 Farmland Impacts	4-18
4.2.1 Prime Farmlands	4-18
4.2.2 Unique Farmlands	4-20
4.2.3 Farmlands of State Importance	4-21
4.2.4 Grazing Allotments	4-22
4.3 Social Impacts	4-25
4.3.1 Socially Disadvantaged Groups (Environmental Justice)	4-25
4.3.2 Impacts on Neighborhood and Community Cohesion	4-27
4.3.3 Impacts on Travel Patterns and Accessibility	4-28
4.3.4 Public Facilities.....	4-29
4.3.5 Public Services and Utilities	4-30
4.3.6 Quality of Life.....	4-32
4.3.7 Public Health and Safety	4-34
4.3.8 Recreation Resources	4-35
4.4 Relocation Impacts	4-40
4.4.1 Residences.....	4-41
4.4.2 Farmsteads	4-42
4.4.3 Summary of Displacements	4-43
4.5 Economic Impacts	4-43
4.5.1 Regional Economic Impacts.....	4-43
4.5.2 Local Economic Impacts	4-45
4.6 Joint Development Impacts	4-47
4.6.1 Southern Corridor Trail.....	4-47
4.7 Impacts on Considerations Related to Pedestrians and Bicyclists	4-48
4.7.1 Existing Facilities.....	4-48
4.7.2 Proposed Facilities	4-48
4.8 Air Quality Impacts.....	4-49
4.8.1 Pollutants Evaluated.....	4-49
4.8.2 Air Emissions Estimates	4-50
4.8.3 Modeled Air Quality Impacts.....	4-52
4.8.4 Mitigation Measures for Air Quality Impacts	4-57
4.8.5 Cumulative Air Quality Impacts	4-57
4.9 Noise Impacts.....	4-60
4.9.1 No-Build Alternative.....	4-60
4.9.2 Build Alternatives	4-61
4.9.3 Mitigation Measures for Noise Impacts	4-65
4.9.4 Cumulative Noise Impacts	4-67
4.10 Water Quality Impacts.....	4-70
4.10.1 Contaminants Evaluated.....	4-70
4.10.2 Surface Water Quality	4-71
4.10.3 Groundwater Quality.....	4-77
4.10.4 Groundwater Rights and Wells	4-77

4.11	Impacts on Wetlands/Waters of the U.S.....	4-79
4.11.1	No-Build Alternative.....	4-79
4.11.2	Build Alternatives	4-80
4.12	Water Body Modification and Wildlife Impacts.....	4-81
4.12.1	Water Bodies.....	4-81
4.12.2	Wildlife Habitat.....	4-82
4.12.3	Direct Impacts on Wildlife.....	4-85
4.12.4	Indirect Impacts on Wildlife	4-87
4.12.5	Cumulative Impacts on Wildlife Habitat.....	4-92
4.13	Floodplain Impacts.....	4-94
4.13.1	Floodplain Management.....	4-94
4.13.2	Impacts to Floodplains	4-95
4.14	Threatened and Endangered Species Impacts	4-96
4.14.1	Plants	4-99
4.14.2	Wildlife	4-106
4.14.3	Cumulative Impacts to Threatened and Endangered Species.....	4-116
4.15	Impacts on Historic, Archaeological, and Paleontological Resources....	4-120
4.15.1	Cultural Resources	4-120
4.15.2	Paleontological Resources.....	4-123
4.15.3	Cumulative Impacts on Cultural Resources	4-125
4.16	Hazardous Waste Site Impacts.....	4-127
4.16.1	No-Build Alternative.....	4-127
4.16.2	4300 West Alternative.....	4-128
4.16.3	3400 West Alternative.....	4-128
4.16.4	2800 West Alternative.....	4-128
4.16.5	Mitigation Measures for Impacts to Hazardous Waste Sites.....	4-128
4.17	Visual Impacts	4-129
4.17.1	Construction-Related Visual Impacts.....	4-129
4.17.2	Proposed Project Viewed from Offsite	4-130
4.17.3	Visual Impact Ratings	4-133
4.17.4	Views from the Southern Corridor	4-134
4.18	Energy Impacts.....	4-134
4.18.1	Direct Energy Impacts.....	4-134
4.18.2	Construction-Related Energy Impacts.....	4-135
4.19	Construction Impacts.....	4-136
4.19.1	No-Build Alternative.....	4-136
4.19.2	Build Alternatives	4-136
4.20	Short-Term Uses versus Long-Term Productivity	4-139
4.21	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources.....	4-140

4.22	Permits and Clearances	4-141
4.22.1	Floodplain Development Permit (Local Jurisdictions).....	4-142
4.22.2	Nationwide 14 Linear Transportation Crossings (Corps).....	4-142
4.22.3	Utah State Stream Alteration Permit (Utah Division of Water Rights).....	4-142
4.22.4	Section 402 Permit, Utah Pollution Discharge Elimination System (Utah Division of Air Quality)	4-143
4.22.5	Approval of Addition or Modification of Access Points (FHWA)	4-143
4.22.6	Air Quality Approval Order (Utah Division of Air Quality).....	4-143
4.22.7	Water Rights (Utah Division of Water Rights)	4-143
4.22.8	Incidental Take Statement (USFWS)	4-144
4.22.9	Section 106 and Section 110 (National Historic Preservation Act)	4-144
4.22.10	Approval of Remediation Work Plan (UDEQ or EPA)	4-144
4.22.11	Construction-Related Permits and Clearances (Various Agencies)	4-144
4.22.12	Material Site ROW Permit (BLM).....	4-144
4.23	Mitigation Summary	4-145

Tables

Chapter S Tables

Table S.4-1. Comparison of Environmental Impacts.....	S-7
---	-----

Chapter 1 Tables

Table 1.3-1. LOS Definitions and Flow Rates.....	1-12
Table 1.3-2. Existing Roadway LOS in the Study Area	1-14
Table 1.5-1. 1994–2030 Population and Dwelling Unit Growth Rates	1-17
Table 1.5-2. 1994–2030 Retail and Nonretail Employment Growth Rates	1-18
Table 1.6-1. Volume-to-Capacity Summary for Key Network Links.....	1-20

Chapter 2 Tables

Table 2.1-1. 2030 LOS, No-Build and Build Alternatives	2-7
Table 2.1-2. 2030 User Cost Summary by Alternative	2-11
Table 2.1-3. Build versus No-Build VMT, VHT, and Average Speed (Capacity Constrained)	2-15
Table 2.1-4. Design Standards—Roadway	2-17
Table 2.1-5. Design Standards—Interchanges.....	2-17
Table 2.1-6. Summary of Considered Alignments.....	2-18
Table 2.2-1. Preliminary Southern Corridor Cost Estimate	2-22
Table 2.2-2. Comparison of No-Build and Build Alternatives 2030 Road Network	2-25
Table 2.4-1. Comparison of Environmental Impacts	2-31
Table 2.5-1. Southern Corridor Preferred Alternative Selection	2-37

Chapter 3 Tables

Table 3.1-1. Land Administration by Acreage	3-2
Table 3.2-1. Grazing Allotments in the Study Area.....	3-8
Table 3.3-1. Population Density	3-10
Table 3.3-2. Minority Populations	3-11
Table 3.3-3. Median Household Income in 1989.....	3-12
Table 3.3-4. Senior Citizen Populations	3-13
Table 3.3-5. Impoverished Senior Citizens.....	3-14
Table 3.5-1. Unemployment Rates	3-23
Table 3.5-2. Employment Percent by Sector in Utah.....	3-24
Table 3.5-3. Employment Percent by Sector in Washington County	3-24
Table 3.5-4. Largest Employers in Washington County	3-25
Table 3.5-5. Commercial Properties Located near I-15 Interchanges.....	3-26
Table 3.5-6. Property Tax Revenues.....	3-26
Table 3.5-7. City Tax Revenues, Fiscal Year Ending June 2001.....	3-27
Table 3.8-1. National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)	3-32
Table 3.8-2. Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Data for St. George.....	3-33
Table 3.8-3. Visibility Trends for Bryce Canyon National Park	3-34
Table 3.8-4. 1994 Study Area Existing Emissions	3-35
Table 3.9-1. Weighted Sound Levels and Human Response	3-36
Table 3.9-2. FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria	3-37
Table 3.9-3. Ambient Noise Monitoring Data	3-38
Table 3.10-1. Existing Water Quality Data.....	3-42
Table 3.14-1. Status and Probability of Occurrence of Special Status Species in the Southern Corridor	3-53

Chapter 4 Tables

Table 4.0-1. Acres of New Roadways, No-Build and Build Alternatives	4-2
Table 4.1-1. Acres of BLM-Administered Public Land Required for Southern Corridor Right-of-Way	4-6
Table 4.1-2. Comparison of Alternative Plan and Current South Block Framework Plan, 2030.....	4-10
Table 4.1-3. Environmental Concerns with Development Adjacent to Potential Interchange Locations	4-12
Table 4.1-4. Southern Corridor Conformance with Decisions in the St. George Field Office Resource Management Plan and Record of Decision	4-15
Table 4.1-5. Cumulative Land Use Impacts, 1995–2020.....	4-17
Table 4.1-6. Future Land Uses.....	4-17
Table 4.2-1. Impacts on Specially Designated Farmland	4-19
Table 4.2-2. Grazing Allotments Impacted by the Southern Corridor	4-22
Table 4.2-3. Direct and Indirect Impacts to Grazing Allotments.....	4-24
Table 4.4-1. Residential Displacements by Alternative.....	4-42
Table 4.4-2. Summary of Displacements	4-43
Table 4.8-1. Legacy Parkway Project Estimated Construction Emissions	4-51
Table 4.8-2. Estimated Regional Emissions for Highway Vehicles, Highway Network Totals for St. George/Hurricane/Washington County in 2030	4-52

Table 4.8-3. Meteorological and Background Concentration Input Parameters	4-55
Table 4.8-4. Maximum Predicted CO Concentrations Compared to NAAQS.....	4-56
Table 4.9-1. Modeled Noise Levels at Sensitive Receptors.....	4-63
Table 4.9-2. Modeled Noise Levels at Subdivision Receptors	4-66
Table 4.9-3. Modeled Noise Levels at Proposed Dixie Springs Subdivision Receptors	4-67
Table 4.9-4. Typical Noise Levels in Rural and Urban Areas in the United States	4-68
Table 4.10-1. Typical Highway Runoff Contaminants	4-70
Table 4.10-2. Downstream Virgin River Pollutant Concentrations	4-73
Table 4.10-3. Number of Affected Groundwater Wells	4-78
Table 4.12-1. Acres of Desert Scrub/Desert Riparian Scrub Affected	4-83
Table 4.12-2. Wildlife Disturbance Distances	4-89
Table 4.14-1. Land Ownership Acres for Holmgren Milkvetch and Bearclaw Poppy Habitat	4-117
Table 4.15-1. Comparison of Impacts to Cultural Resources by Build Alternative....	4-120
Table 4.15-2. Sensitivity of Paleontological Sites Impacted by Build Alternatives	4-123
Table 4.16-1. Suspected Hazardous Waste Sites along the Southern Corridor Alternatives	4-127
Table 4.17-1. Visual Impact Ratings—Onsite	4-133
Table 4.22-1. Required Permits and Clearances	4-141
Table 4.23-1. Mitigation Summary.....	4-145

Figures

Chapter 1 Figures

Figure 1-1. Project Location	1-25
Figure 1-2. Regional and Local Roadways.....	1-27
Figure 1-3. Natural Constraints	1-29
Figure 1-4. Future Developments	1-31

Chapter 2 Figures

Figure 2-1. Red Cliffs Desert Reserve	2-39
Figure 2-2. 2030 Regional and Local Roadway Congestion Improvements with the Southern Corridor	2-41
Figure 2-3. Regional Alignment	2-43
Figure 2-4. Typical Section	2-45
Figure 2-5. Alignment Alternatives Evaluated	2-47
Figure 2-6. Other Alternative Considered.....	2-49
Figure 2-7. No-Build Alternative Roadway Network.....	2-51
Figure 2-8. Build Alternatives Roadway Network	2-53
Figure 2-9. Southern Corridor 4300 West, 3400 West, and 2800 West Alternatives	2-55

Chapter 3 Figures

Figure 3-1. Existing Land Use and Land Administration within 1 Mile of the Alternatives	3-75
Figure 3-2. BLM Field Office Boundaries near the Proposed Southern Corridor	3-77
Figure 3-3. Washington County Land Use	3-79
Figure 3-4. St. George Land Use	3-81
Figure 3-5. Washington City Master Land Use Plan	3-83
Figure 3-6. Hurricane City Future Land Use Map.....	3-85
Figure 3-7. Prime and Unique Farmland Adjacent to Southern Corridor Alternatives	3-87
Figure 3-8. BLM Grazing Allotments Adjacent to Southern Corridor Alternatives	3-89
Figure 3-9. Study Area Census Tracts/Block Groups.....	3-91
Figure 3-10. Recreational Resources	3-93
Figure 3-11. Pedestrian and Bicycle Trails.....	3-95
Figure 3-12. Noise Monitoring Locations.....	3-97
Figure 3-13. Water Resources	3-99
Figure 3-14. Groundwater Well Locations	3-101
Figure 3-15. Jurisdictional Wetlands within Study Area	3-103
Figure 3-16. Potential Hazardous Waste Sites.....	3-105
Figure 3-17. Visual Resources Key Observation Points.....	3-107

Chapter 4 Figures

Figure 4-1. St. George Land Use Map, No-Build Alternative	4-155
Figure 4-2. Hurricane City Future Land Use Map, Build Alternatives.....	4-157
Figure 4-3. Potential Interchange Locations	4-159
Figure 4-4. Prime and Unique Farmland Impacts.....	4-161
Figure 4-5. Impacts to Grazing Allotments	4-163
Figure 4-6. Recreation Resource Impacts	4-165
Figure 4-7. Displacements	4-167
Figure 4-8. Noise Contour Lines	4-169
Figure 4-9. Affected Wells	4-171

This page is intentionally blank.